

## 1 Bounding global sensitivity

1. Let  $f : X^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ . Let  $A$  be a (deterministic) algorithm with the following properties:
  - On input  $x \in X^n$ ,  $A$  operates on a subsample  $\tilde{x}$  of  $x$  where each entry of  $x$  appears in  $\tilde{x}$  with probability at most  $\alpha < 1$ , and
  - $\Pr[\|A(\tilde{x}) - f(x)\|_1 \leq \sigma] > \frac{1+\alpha}{2}$ .

Show that  $GS_f \leq 2\sigma$ .

Hint: consider two neighboring databases  $x, x'$  that differ on location  $i$  and let  $\mathcal{E}$  be the event that entry  $i$  is not selected to be in the subsample. Note that conditioned on  $\mathcal{E}$ , subsamples of  $x$  and  $x'$  are identically distributed. Write  $\Pr[\|A(\tilde{x}) - f(x)\|_1 > \sigma]$  as  $\Pr[\mathcal{E}] \cdot \Pr[\|A(\tilde{x}) - f(x)\|_1 > \sigma | \mathcal{E}] + \Pr[\neg \mathcal{E}] \cdot \Pr[\|A(\tilde{x}) - f(x)\|_1 > \sigma | \neg \mathcal{E}]$ .

2. Let  $\text{median} : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be the function that on input  $x \in [0, 1]^n$  returns the  $\lceil n/2 \rceil$ -th element in a sorting of  $x$ . What is  $GS_{\text{median}}$ ?

## 2 Group privacy

Prove that differential privacy provides protection not only to individuals but also to groups of size  $t$ .

1. **Pure privacy:** Let  $M : X^n \rightarrow R$  be  $(\epsilon, 0)$ -differentially private. Show that for all  $x, x' \in X^n$  that differ on  $t$  elements and for all  $T \subset R$

$$\Pr[M(x) \in T] \leq e^{t\epsilon} \cdot \Pr[M(x') \in T].$$

2. **Approximate privacy:** Let  $M : X^n \rightarrow R$  be  $(\epsilon, \delta)$ -differentially private. Show that for all  $x, x' \in X^n$  that differ on  $t$  elements and for all  $T \subset R$

$$\Pr[M(x) \in T] \leq e^{t\epsilon} \cdot \Pr[M(x') \in T] + t \cdot e^{t\epsilon} \cdot \delta.$$

In both parts, the probability is over the randomness of the mechanism  $M$ . We say that  $x, x'$  differ on  $t$  elements if  $|\{i : x_i \neq x'_i\}| = t$ . (In particular,  $x, x'$  that differ on one position are neighboring.)

## 3 Noise magnitude for count queries, Laplace mechanism

Let  $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$  and consider the function  $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ .

1. We saw that the randomized algorithm  $A(x) = f(x) + Y$  where  $Y \sim \text{Lap}(1/\epsilon)$  is  $\epsilon$ -differentially private. Show that for all  $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$ ,

$$\Pr \left[ |A(x) - f(x)| \geq \frac{\ln(\frac{1}{\delta})}{\epsilon} \right] \leq \delta.$$

2. Prove that for any  $(\epsilon, 0)$ -differentially private (approximation) algorithm  $A$  there exists  $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$  for which

$$\Pr \left[ |A(x) - f(x)| \geq \frac{\ln(\frac{1-\delta}{\delta})}{2\epsilon} \right] \geq \delta.$$

In both parts of the question, the probability is taken over the randomness of the approximation algorithm,  $A$ .

Hint for part 2: consider instances  $x, x'$  that are at Hamming distance  $\frac{\ln(\frac{1-\delta}{\delta})}{\epsilon}$  apart. Assume that  $\Pr \left[ |A(x) - f(x)| > \frac{\ln(\frac{1-\delta}{\delta})}{2\epsilon} \right] \leq \delta$  and conclude that the inequality in part 2 holds for  $x'$ .

## 4 Randomization

Show that a non-trivial differentially private algorithm has to be randomized. More specifically, that if a deterministic algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$  does not output the same answer on all inputs, it is *not* differentially private.

## 5 Differentially Private Elections

A function *majority* on 0/1 inputs is defined as follows:  $f_{\text{maj}}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is 1 when  $\geq n/2$  arguments are 1, and 0 otherwise. Give an  $\epsilon$ -differentially private algorithm with the following property: if the input contains  $\geq n/2 + k$  occurrences of bit  $b$  then your algorithm should output  $b$  with probability at least  $1 - e^{-k/4}$ . (*Hint*: Use the global sensitivity framework.)

## 6 Median-finding using sum queries

Given a set  $X$  of  $n$  real numbers  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  in  $[0, 1]$ , the rank of a value  $y$  is the number of indices  $i$  such that  $x_i \leq y$ . We say  $y$  is a median of  $X$  if it has rank  $\lceil n/2 \rceil$ . Give a differentially private algorithm which takes  $X$  as input and approximates the median in the following sense: after asking  $t$  questions with global sensitivity 1, with probability at least  $2/3$ , the algorithm should output an interval of width  $2^{-t}$  that contains a value with rank  $\frac{n}{2} \pm \frac{t \log(t)}{\epsilon}$ .

You may want to use (and first prove!) the following lemma:

**Lemma 1** *Let  $Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_t$  be a collection of independent Laplace random variables with scale parameter 1, and let  $M = \max(Z_1, \dots, Z_t)$ . Then*

$$(\forall x > 0) \Pr(M > x) \leq \frac{1}{2} t \exp(-x) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}(M) \leq \ln t.$$

## 7 Balanced cut

Given an undirected graph  $G$  with  $n$  vertices, a *balanced cut* is a partition of  $G$  into two disjoint sets of size at least  $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$  each. The *weight* of a cut is the number of edges that cross between the two sets. Let  $OPT(G)$  denote the weight of the lightest balanced cut.

1. Give an (inefficient time  $2^{O(n)}$ ) randomized algorithm  $A$  that outputs a cut with expected weight  $OPT(G) + O(n/\varepsilon)$ . Your algorithm should satisfy “edge privacy”, that is, for any two graphs  $G$  and  $G'$  that differ in a single edge, and for every event  $E$ ,  $\Pr(A(G) \in E) \leq e^\varepsilon \Pr(A(G') \in E)$ .
2. Suppose we create a graph  $G$  on  $n$  vertices by adding an edge between every pair of vertices independently with probability  $1/2$ . Show that the expected size of the  $OPT(G)$  is  $\Omega(n^2)$ .